IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.27590 of 2008
JAI KRISHNA YADAV @ JAY KRISHNA YADAV @ LADDU YADAV
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 15.7.2008 Heard.

The earlier prayers of the petitioner was dismissed by Annexures 1 and 1/A. The second rejection order was on account of the petitioner having not approached the lower court and had filed a fresh petition here. The petitioner approached the lower court and the learned Judge presiding over the Fast Track Court IV, Araria has dismissed the prayer without indicating as to what could be the reason for pendency of the trial.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

The contention is that the case as indicated in paragraph 15 of the petition has just been committed to the court of Sessions. The Court believes that the reason could be the same and not otherwise. The prayers of the petitioner were rejected earlier and I do not find any reason for reconsidering the same.

However, as the petitioner is in custody since 27.9.2006, this Court is anxious to see that the petitioner's trial is

concluded with expedition and urgency. Keeping that in mind, I direct the trial court to conclude the trial within one and a half years from the date of receipt/ production of the present order.

The petition is dismissed.

(Dharnidhar Jha, J.)

Kanth

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL